C	FILED ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
建设工作	2 9 2009
CENT	PAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUT

6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) SA CR 02-00021-DOC-4
11	Plaintiff,) ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING) (Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6)
12	v.) 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)) Allegations of Violations of
13	MARCO ESPINOZA,) Probation/Supervised Release) Conditions)
14	Defendant.)
15	On annot remark issued by the Whited Chatas District Court Court
16	On arrest warrant issued by the United States District Court for
17	the <u>()()</u> involving alleged violations of conditions of
18	probation/supervised release, The court finds no condition or combination of conditions that wi
19	
20	reasonably assure:
21	A. (the appearance of defendant as required; and/or
	B. ($^{\prime}$) the safety of any person or the community.
22	The Court concludes:
23	A. () Defendant poses a risk to the safety of other
24	persons or the community because defendant has not
25	demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that:
26	Me ray while by and of what
27	
28	

1	B. (W Defendant is a flight risk because defendant has
ļ	not shown by clear and convincing evidence that: _
2	he can abde by and of where
3	Ma fall the
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IT IS ORDERED defendant be detained.
9	
10	DATED: July 29, 2009.
11	CAROLYN//TURCHIN
12	U.S. MAGISTRATE/DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	